

From: [REDACTED]
To: [Manston Airport](#)
Subject: Manston
Date: 09 July 2021 19:16:00

For the Attention of The Manston Airport Case Team

Ref 20012b43

Points in the Statement of matters issued by the Secretary of State

1/ There would be no benefits to the residents under or close to the flight path. Since the 9th July British Airways have closed down their Boeing 747 passenger services. These aircraft are very likely to be converted into freight operations. These aircraft are the noisiest flying today with four old engines. The effects of these aircraft flying day and night into Manston have not been assessed but it can be assumed that there will only be seriously adverse.

2/ BA have taken operations away from Gatwick. They were the second largest operator and there are many unused slots and vacant space which could handle increased operations. Because of Gatwick's location it is likely to be a much acceptable location for operators.

3/ Operations into Manston will have an effect on plans to reduce the carbon emissions from aircraft operations. Aircraft relocating, largely empty, for further freight will increase the carbon emissions. The Government is having difficulty meeting its carbon emission targets. The intended operation will only increase this difficulty.

4/ The Covid 19 pandemic has affected the UK after the 9th July 2019. It is far from over and no-one knows what the outcome will be. It could be that the proposed Manston project would be one great big white elephant.

Yours,

Bill Williamson

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED]
To: [Manston Airport](#)
Subject: Fwd: Manston
Date: 09 July 2021 23:45:13

Begin forwarded message:

From: [REDACTED]
Subject: Manston
Date: 9 July 2021 at 18:15:56 GMT
To: Manston Airport <ManstonAirport@planninginspectorate.gov.uk>

For the Attention of The Manston Airport Case Team

Ref 20012b43

Points in the Statement of matters issued by the Secretary of State

Dear Sirs,

In paragraph 2 of my last email the word “more” should be inserted between the words much and acceptable

Yours,

Bill Williamson
Director,
Sion House Management Company Ltd

From: [REDACTED]
To: [TRANSPORTINFRASTRUCTURE](#)
Subject: Fwd: Manston Airport Planning application
Date: 06 July 2021 11:57:55

Mansion Airport Case Team,
National Infrastructure Planning,
The Planning Inspectorate.

Reference 20012843

Dear Sirs,

Further to my email to you on the 28 June.

There is no material change to the situation from my earlier communications to you. But I have received information that Thanet MPs Sir Roger Gale and Craig McKinley are close associates of Mr Tony Freudmann, CEO of River Oak Strategic Partners Ltd.

Mr McKinley states to neighbours and proclaims that he lives here in Sion Hill. This statement is untrue. He uses this address for voting purposes. He does NOT LIVE in either of the flats he uses and his visits are infrequent, mostly for photo shoots.

I include this as any comments he might make are from someone who lives in West Kent and not someone who lives under the flight path on Sion Hill.
i feel that his opinion is without credit on this point alone.

I add this as an addendum to the email i sent to you on the 28th June which I hope will be studied by the Inspector carrying out the further examination of the evidence being submitted.

Yours sincerely,

Bill Williamson

Manston Airport Case Team
National Infrastructure Planning,

The Planning Inspectorate.

Reference 20012843

Dear Sirs,

Further to your request for further comments regarding the proposal to open Manston Airport for unlimited night flights. I act on behalf of the residents in Sion House, a small block of flats directly below the flight path of aircraft approaching from the east.

There are approximately 40,000 residents in Ramsgate and about 20,000 of them are in the area between the coast at Ramsgate and the airport, the areas involved are Harbour, The Town Centre, Newington and Nethercourt. I am including this again as, if this planning application is permitted, it will devastate these areas. Residents in these areas have been completely ignored.

I do not believe the effects of this planning application on global warming have been appreciated. Aircraft will fly in with cargo and fly out nearly empty to pick up the next the next cargo. The Inspectors clearly indicated that there was sufficient space available in the holds of passenger flights into Gatwick, Stansted and East Midlands airports to cope with the demand for freight space, as they do now. These additional flights will add to the problems obtaining the Global Warming targets.

In the last few months there have been proposals to regenerate Ramsgate which has applied for £20 million from the levelling up funding scheme - "Ramsgate Future". What is the point of this if a planning application is allowed for unlimited day/night flights.

The very experienced Inspectors clearly indicated the problems involved in this application. What reasons have been put forward for disregarding their conclusions?

Yours sincerely,

Bill Williamson.

This email has originated from external sources and has been scanned by DfT's email scanning service.
